IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re: Application of: **Guillermo Silva**   Date: **July 25, 2006**
Serial No: **10/765,193**   Art Unit: **1761**
Filed: **January 28, 2004**   Examiner: **Helen F. Pratt**
Atty. Dkt. No.   **060014**
Title: **COCONUT BEVERAGE AND METHOD OF PRODUCING THE SAME**

PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION
AFTER FINAL OFFICE ACTION WITH AMENDMENT

Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically filed with the **United States Patent and Trademark Office** on **July 25, 2006.**

FROM: ALBERT BORDAS, P.A.
       5975 Sunset Drive, Unit 607
       Miami, Florida 33143
       Ph:(305) 669-9848/ Fax:(305) 669-9851

TO: **Patent and Trademark Office**
Attn.   **Examiner: Helen F. Pratt**   Art Unit: **1761**

Papers included: Petition for Reconsideration after Final Office Action with Amendment, Petition for Extension of Time (One month) Under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and Credit Card Payment Form (PTO-2038).

Albert Bordas, Esq.   45,595 .
Name of person signing Certificate   Registration Number, if applicable

Signature

Sir:

In response to the recent Final Office action, please review the following amendment and reconsider your decision with regard to the above-referenced application as follows.

1   **INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS**

2

3   The Examiner has rejected claims 1 and 6 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second

4 paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and

5 distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the

6 invention. The Examiner has rejected claims 1 and 8 as being indefinite. In

7 addition, the Examiner has noted that "Dehydration" is misspelled and

8 that in claim 1, 3 lines from the bottom there should be a "to" instead of an

9 "and" in front of "facilitate".

10

11   In addition, the Examiner has objected claims 1, 2, and 4 through 8

12 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), as being unpatentable over Leaflet No. 8, 1983 in

13 view of Tayag (PH26114) and Beyerinck et al (6,763,607).

14

15   Applicant has amended the claims to overcome the Examiner

16 rejections.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29